Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/002,741	TATENO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Inder P. Mehra	2617
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner.	(3)	
(2) Brian E. Hennessey, Attorney, Reg. No. 51,27	<u>71</u> . (4)	
Date of Interview: 20 December 2006	Time:	
	Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:	3	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Independent claims 1, 2 and 10, as corrected by examine	∍r	
Claims discussed: Independent claims 1, 2 and 10, as corrected by examine	ər	
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief such as the such application of all issues. 	on. The examiner will provide a writ	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
	Inder Pal Me JOHN F	Ara 12/20/06 23/5/5/06 3INER
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Aapplicant's version of claims does not read on the drawing, see fig. 1 Claims are confusing. Discussed claims 1, 2 and 10 and faxed to the Attorney copy of these claims with some corrections made. No agreement was reached.
Applicant preferred office action including these suggested corrections.

Inder Pal Mehra 12/20/06